

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

Skky, Inc.	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Civil Action No.
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
Playboy Enterprises, Inc.	)	<b>COMPLAINT;</b>
	)	<b>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</b>
Defendant.	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

**COMPLAINT**

This is a patent infringement case. Skky, Inc. for its complaint against Playboy Enterprises, Inc. states and alleges as follows:

**PARTIES, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE**

***Plaintiff Skky, Inc.***

1. Plaintiff Skky, Inc. (“Skky”) is a Minnesota corporation with its principal place of business at 825 E. Wayzata Blvd., Wayzata, Minnesota 55391.

***Defendant Playboy Enterprises, Inc.***

2. Defendant Playboy Enterprises, Inc. (“Playboy”) is a Delaware corporation with its principle place of business at 9346 Civic Center Drive, Suite 200, Beverly Hills, CA 90210.

3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United Sates, Title 35 of the United States Code. Accordingly, this Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Playboy because, among other reasons, Playboy regularly conducts business in this judicial district. Playboy maintains at least the following web site, playboy.com, which citizens of Minnesota access. Also, Playboy through its web site(s), transmits compressed audio and/or visual files to customers or potential customers in Minnesota.

5. Venue is proper in this district under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b) because, among other reasons, Playboy has conducted and continues to conduct regular and ongoing business in Minnesota. Additionally, Playboy has committed and continues to commit acts of direct and/or indirect infringement in this District by making, using, importing, offering for sale, and/or selling products by way of infringing methods, and/or inducing others to perform method steps in Minnesota that are claimed in Skky's patent.

### **FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

#### ***The Inventors and Patent-in-Suit***

6. John Mikkelsen, one of the inventors in this case, grew up in Minnesota. Mr. Mikkelsen currently resides in Minnesota. Mr. Mikkelsen is the CEO of Skky.

7. Dr. Robert Freidson, the other inventor in this case, earned his Ph.D. in Mathematics and Computer Science from Steklov Mathematical Institute (USSR Academy of Science, Leningrad, Russia). Since 1975, Dr. Freidson has been a Professor in the Department of Mathematics at the St. Petersburg Electrical Engineering University. Dr. Freidson is the Chief Technology Officer of Skky.

8. In the 1990s, Mr. Mikkelsen worked in the music industry. During his work, Mr. Mikkelsen created edited song clips that he used as alert sounds on his computer. From this idea, Mr. Mikkelsen sought to solve the problem of using real music samples with cellular phones.

9. In the late 1990s, Mr. Mikkelsen was introduced to Dr. Freidson. Mr. Mikkelsen and Dr. Freidson recognized that available cellular phones were incapable of receiving and playing back compressed audio and/or visual files, such as real music clips. Working together, Mr. Mikkelsen and Dr. Freidson created a cellular phone that could wirelessly receive and play back compressed audio and/or visual files. In addition, Mr. Mikkelsen and Dr. Freidson created a web site, [www.wavpop.net](http://www.wavpop.net), in an attempt to sell ringtones. They formed a company called 4 Media, Inc., which later changed its name to Skky, Inc.

10. On June 16, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office awarded United States Patent No. 7,548,875 (the “’875 patent”), titled *Media Delivery Platform*, to inventors Mr. John Mikkelsen and Dr. Robert Freidson. A complete and authentic copy of the ’875 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

11. Mr. John Mikkelsen and Dr. Robert Freidson assigned the ’875 patent to Skky. Skky remains the owner of the ’875 patent.

#### **COUNT I—PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF THE ’875 PATENT**

12. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 11.

13. Defendant Playboy has had constructive notice of the ’875 patent since it issued on June 16, 2009.

14. Playboy, together with its subsidiaries, offers to sell, sells, uses, distributes, and services audio and/or visual files through its web sites to cellular phones, such as [playboy.com](http://playboy.com). These compressed audio and/or visual files are transmitted to a cellular phone when a user accesses Playboy’s web site(s). Further, Playboy’s web site offers for sale compressed audio and/or visual files which upon purchase by the user, are subsequently transmitted wirelessly to

the user's cellular phone. Thus, Playboy's web site(s) containing audio and/or visual files, when used, practices the claimed inventions of the '875 patent.

15. Playboy has infringed and continues to infringe one or more claims of the '875 patent under 35 U.S.C. §271(a), either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States and/or importing into the United States, products and or methods encompassed in at least claim 1 of the '875 patent, including for example, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing compressed audio and/or visual files to cellular phones.

16. Third parties, collectively with Playboy, including Playboy's customers, have infringed, and continue to infringe one or more claims of the '875 patent under 35 U.S.C. §271(a), either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale in the United States and/or importing into the United States, products and or methods encompassed in at least claim 1 of the '875 patent, including for example, by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing compressed audio and/or visual files to cellular phones.

17. Playboy has had knowledge of the '875 patent and its infringement at least since the filing of this Complaint.

18. Playboy has induced infringement, and continues to induce infringement, of one or more claims of the '875 patent under 35 U.S.C. §271(b). Playboy actively, knowingly, and intentionally induced, and continues to actively, knowingly, and intentionally induce, infringement of at least claim 1 of the '875 patent by selling or otherwise transmitting compressed audio and/or visual files to cellular phones; with the knowledge and specific intent that third parties will use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import compressed audio and/or visual files

supplied by Playboy to infringe the '875 patent; and with the knowledge and specific intent to encourage and facilitate the infringement through the transmission of compressed audio and/or visual files to cellular phones and/or the creation and dissemination of promotional and marketing materials, supporting materials, instructions, product manuals, and/or technical information related to the transmission of compressed audio and/or visual files to cellular phones. For example, Playboy's web site, playboy.com, transmits numerous compressed audio and/or visual files to a user's cellular phone that can be viewed on the cellular phone by the user. Further, the web site m.playboy.com demonstrates that Playboy's web site has been optimized to transmit compressed audio and/or visual files to a cellular phone.

19. The infringement by Playboy of the '875 patent injured and continues to harm Skky, and will cause irreparable injury and damage to Skky unless the Court enjoins Playboy from infringing the '875 patent.

20. Playboy's conduct in infringing the '875 patent renders this case exceptional within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, Skky prays for the following judgment and relief:

- a. that Playboy has infringed the '875 patent;
- b. that Skky is entitled to a permanent injunction barring Playboy and its respective agents, servants, officers, directors, employees, and all persons acting in concert with them, directly or indirectly, from infringing, inducing others to infringe, or contributing to the infringement of the '875 patent;
- c. that Playboy shall account for and pay to Skky the damages to which Skky is entitled as a consequence of Playboy's infringement of the '875 patent;
- d. that Playboy shall additionally account for and pay to Skky the damages for the period of infringement of the '875 patent following the period of damages established by Skky at trial;
- e. that Skky is further entitled to pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- g. that this case is exceptional and that Skky is entitled to their reasonable attorney fees, costs, and expenses that it incurs prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285; and
- h. any other award or relief that is just and equitable.

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

A jury trial is demanded on all issues so triable, pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: July 31, 2013

By: /s/Ryan M. Schultz  
Ronald J. Schutz (0130849)  
Becky R. Thorson (0254861)  
Ryan Schultz (0392648)

Benjamin Linden (0393232)  
ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI, L.L.P.  
800 LaSalle Avenue, Suite 2800  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
Phone: (612) 349-8500

Andrew D. Parker (0195042)  
PARKER ROSEN, LLC  
300 First Avenue North, Suite 200  
Minneapolis, MN 55401

**Attorneys for the Plaintiff Skky, Inc.**